UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/691,334	10/18/2000	Aninda Dasgupta	US 000013	5217
24737 7590 11/10/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIA DOLLET MANOR NIV 10510			EXAMINER	
			TRUONG, LECHI	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/691,334	DASGUPTA, ANINDA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LECHI TRUONG	2194	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS foute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON.  e timely filed  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The substitution of	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5)  Notice of Inform 6)  Other:		

Art Unit: 2194

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-24 are presented for the examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

b. The claim language in the following claims is not clearly understood:

As to claims 1, 7, 13, 20, they are not clearly indicated what is mean for "the external interface" since the claim recited the external interface within the digital audio playback device (A digital audio playback device comprising: an external interface...). Appropriate correction is required to make clear that the interface is external from the connected processing system or from the digital auto playback device.

# Claim Objections

3. Claims 13, 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: There is an error on the phase" for use" (line 1). Appropriate correction is required to change from "for use" to "Method for use".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Art Unit: 2194

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 13-19, 20-24 are directed to the method claims that would not qualify as a statutory process would be a claim that recited purely mental steps. Thus, to quality as a 101 statutory process, the claim should be positively reciting the other statutory class (the thing or product) to which it is tied, for example by identifying the apparatus that accomplishes the method steps. Appropriate correction is required to add the hardware performs the steps of the methods.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 7-8, 13-14, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Lamkin (US 7178106 B2).
- 3. **As to claim 1**, APA teaches a digital audio playback device (DAPD) (digital audio playback devices (DAPD, page 1, In 9-15), a connected processing system (the PC, page 3, In 5-

Art Unit: 2194

23 to col 1-26), executing (executed, page 3, In 20- 24), the external interface (playback device, page 3, In 5-23 to col 1-26), a user interface application program (a Ul software application, page 2, In 14-17/ a the program for controlling the connected user interface, page 3, In 20-23), a memory (memory, page 1, In 15-18), storing (download, page 4, In 1-7), a X DAPD application programming interface (API) (the libraries consists contain implementations of application programming interfaces (API), page 4, In 1-15).

4. APA does not teach reverse DAPI API capable of external interface causing a processor to access and control a user interface and displayed on a monitor screen associated with said connected processing system. However, Lamkin teaches (navigator coupled to the decoder and the application programming interface, the navigator facilitating user or network-originated control of the playback of the removable media, the computing device receiving network content from the network and combining the network content with the media content, the presentation engine displaying the combined network content and media content on the display, col 3, ln 20-28/ the hardware platform (402) has microprocessor or other processing circuitry (as shown in FIGS. 2 3) executing both the embedded web browser (410) and the media subsystem (420). The hardware platform (402) can be any device suitable for the present invention such as, but not limited to, television set-top boxes, DVD players, computers, etc., col 6, ln 56-63/ The application programming interface (API) provides interaction with hardware platform (402) by means of commands (or methods), properties, and events. Commands (also called methods) are executed to control the playback of, search of, and navigation through video and/or audio content, col 7, ln 63-67/ The presentation engine (612) of the embedded web browser (410) parses the HTML instructions for controlling the media playback, generates any graphic portions Art Unit: 2194

of the display, positions a video window when it exists, and also interfaces directly with the underlying DVD Navigator, col 10, ln 3-10/The local content source (104) is coupled to the computer (202). The offsite content source (106) is coupled to the computer (202). The computer (202) includes the microprocessor (204) and the memory (206). In operation, computer (202) is any computer able to play/display both video and audio provided by the local content source (104) and/or web or HTML content as provided by the offsite content source (106), col 6, ln 14-20/ API is stored in the DVD player which is external from the computer).

- 5. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modifying the teaching APA with Lamkin to incorporate the feature of reverse DAPI API capable of external interface causing a processor to access and control a user interface and displayed on a monitor screen associated with said connected processing system because this provides augmented or improved content with playback of DVD content.
- 6. **As to claim 2**, Lamkin teaches API comprises executable instruction capable of communicating with and controlling an operation of said user interface application program (col 4, ln 45-50)
- 7. **As to claims 7, 13, 20**, they are apparatus claim of claim 1; therefore, they are rejected for the same reason as claim 1 above.
- 8. **As to claim 8**, it is an apparatus claim of claim 2; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 2 above.

**As to claim 14**, API comprises executable instruction capable of communication with and controlling an operation of the user interface application program (col 7, ln 5-10).

Page 6

**As to claim 21,** it is apparatus claim of claim 14; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 14 above.

- 25. Claims **15**, **16** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Lamkin(US 7178106 B2), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Smyers et al (US. Patent 5,991,520).
- 26. **As to claim 15**, APA and Lamkin do not teach API comprises first data associated with a manufacturer of the digital audio playback device and wherein the step of executing the reverse DAPD includes using the first data to vary at least a portion of user interface. However, Smyers teaches API comprises first data associated with a manufacturer of the digital audio playback device and wherein the step of executing the reverse DAPD includes using the first data to vary at least a portion of user interface (col 4, ln 1-5/ ln 37-41/ col 5, ln 33-42/ col 7, ln 45-50/ col 9, ln 2-13/ ln 20-27), API comprises first data associated with a manufacturer of said digital audio playback device (col 2, ln 20-30).
- 27. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching APA and Lamkin with Smyers to incorporate the feature of comprises first data associated with a manufacturer of the digital audio playback device and wherein the step of executing the reverse DAPD includes using the first data to vary at least a portion of user interface because this allows automated generation of transactions necessary to complete a data transfer with permitting a high degree of hardware automation, if needed by the application.

Art Unit: 2194

29. Claim **22** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior art (APA) Lamkin(US 7178106 B2), as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Messer et al (US. Patent 6,762798 B1).

Page 7

- 30. **As to claim 22,** APA and Lamkin do not teach API, which identifies a manufacturer of said digital audio playback device, and wherein said reverse DAPD API is capable of causing an identity of the manufacturer to be displayed. However, Messer teaches API which identifies a manufacturer of said digital audio playback device, and wherein said reverse DAPD API is capable of causing an identity of the manufacturer to be displayed (calling the first method in response to a specification of the set of parameters such that a video window is created with the set of parameters when the video window generated at the destination position and according to the scale factor is within the capabilities of the television and the display, col 11, ln 59-64).
- 32. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of APA, Lamkin with Messer to incorporate the feature of API, which identifies a manufacturer of said digital audio playback device, and wherein said reverse DAPD API is capable of causing an identity of the manufacturer to be displayed because this enables a video window to be translated as well as scaled to accommodate a variety of televisions.
- 33. Claims **23-24** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior art (APA) in view of Lamkin(US 7178106 B2), as applied to claim 13 above, in view of

Page 8

Art Unit: 2194

Messer et al (US. Patent 6,762798 B1) and further in view of Smyers et al (US. Patent

5,991,520).

34. **As to claims 23, 24**, APA, Lamkin and Messer do not teaches API is capable causing

said processor to access and control at least a portion of user interface to display said data in said

at least a portion of said user interface displayed on said monitor screen, API comprises first data

associated with a manufacturer of said digital audio playback device. However, Smyers teaches

API is capable causing said processor to access and control at least a portion of user interface to

display said data in said at least a portion of said user interface displayed on said monitor screen

(col 4, ln 1-5/ ln 37-41/ col 5, ln 33-42/ col 7, ln 45-50/ col 9, ln 2-13/ ln 20-27), API comprises

first data associated with a manufacturer of said digital audio playback device (col 2, ln 20-30).

35. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to modify the teaching APA, Lamkin and Messer with Smyers to incorporate the

feature of API is capable causing said processor to access and control at least a portion of user

interface to display said data in said at least a portion of said user interface displayed on said

monitor screen because this allows automated generation of transactions necessary to complete

a data transfer with permitting a high degree of hardware automation, if needed by the

application.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2194

36. Claims 3-6, 9-12, 17-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272-3767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

/LeChi Truong/

Examiner, Art Unit 2194

Art Unit: 2194

LeChi Truong

November 10, 2008

Page 11

Art Unit: 2194

Art Unit: 2194

Page 12